IOWA STATE BOARD OF EDUCATION

(Cite as 19 D.o.E. App. Dec. 1)

In re Keith Degan

Diane Degan, Appellant, :

v. : DECISION

Iowa High School Athletic Association, :

Appellee. : [Adm. Doc. #4294]

The above-captioned matter was heard telephonically on December 11 and December 14, 2000, before a hearing panel comprised of Ron Parker, consultant, Office of the Director; Jan Huss, consultant, Bureau of Administration and School Improvement Services; and Susan E. Anderson, J.D., designated administrative law judge, presiding on behalf of Ted Stilwill, Director.

Appellant, Diane Degen, and her son, Keith, were telephonically present and were unrepresented by counsel. Appellee, Iowa High School Athletic Association [hereinafter, "IHSAA" or "the Association"] was also telephonically present in the person of David Anderson, administrative assistant. The Association was also unrepresented by counsel. Appellant seeks reversal of a decision of the Board of Control of the Association made on November 18, 2000, which denied her request for an additional semester of athletic eligibility for Keith Degen.

Jurisdiction for this appeal is found at Iowa Code section 280.13 (1999) and 281 Iowa Administrative Code 36.17. An evidentiary hearing was held pursuant to departmental rules found at 281 Iowa Administrative Code 6. The administrative law judge finds that she and the director of the Department of Education have jurisdiction over the parties and subject matter of this appeal. 281 IAC 36.17.

I. FINDINGS OF FACT

Keith Degen ["Keith"] is currently a fifth-year high school senior, attending Mason City Alternative High School. He is scheduled to graduate at the end of the 2000-2001 school year. He is currently 18 years old.

Keith attended Mason City High School during his freshman year, the 1996-1997 school year. He participated in wrestling during both semesters of that year. During his sophomore year, Keith attended Mason City High School and then its alternative high school for the last few weeks of the 1997-98 school year. He participated in wrestling for part of the fall semester and was academically ineligible during the spring semester. On

two occasions sometime during his freshman and sophomore years, he was suspended from extracurricular activities under the District's good conduct policy.

On July 4, 1998, during the summer between his sophomore and junior years, Keith was arrested for possession of marijuana. The juvenile court for Cerro Gordo County put him under probation and sent him to Prairie Ridge Addiction Treatment Services in Mason City for outpatient evaluation and treatment in its Adolescent Youth Program. A juvenile court officer notified the District of Keith's marijuana possession offense on July 15, 1998. Mr. David Ceccetti, the Supervisor at Mason City Alternative High School sent a letter to Keith's parents notifying them that Keith would be ineligible for all extracurricular activities due to his third violation of the District's good conduct policy.

During the evaluation and outpatient treatment at Prairie Ridge, Keith's urinalysis tests came back four times for marijuana and methamphetamines.

Appellant has asked the Association for one more semester of eligibility so that Keith can wrestle next semester. Keith testified that although his last term at school would be enhanced for him if he could participate in sports, he does intend to finish high school education regardless of whether he competes in wrestling.

II. CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The State Board of Education has adopted rules regarding student athletic eligibility pursuant to the authority contained in Iowa Code section 280.13 (1999). Those rules are found in 281—Iowa Administrative Code 36. The rules are enforced by the schools themselves and by the coaches, subject to interpretations and assistance from the Iowa High School Athletic Association (for male athletes) and the Iowa Girls' High School Athletic Union (for female athletes). Pursuant to 28E agreements, the Association and the Union enforce the rules by their official determinations, subject to appeal to the Director of the Department of Education.

The eligibility rule at issue in this case is 281 IAC 36.15(d)(2), which reads, in pertinent part, as follows:

d. A student who meets all other qualifications may be eligible to participate in interscholastic athletics for a maximum of eight consecutive semesters upon entering the ninth grade for the first time. ... Extenuating circumstances, such as health, may

be the basis for an appeal to the executive board which may extend the eligibility of a student when it finds that the interests of the student and interscholastic athletics will be benefited.

Id.

The decision in *In re Rob Olmstead*, 10 D.o.E. App. Dec. 330 (1993), states the purpose of the rule as follows.

The rule, of course, is designed to keep athletics and academics in balance. Its intent is to prohibit the practice of holding students back (know as "redshirting" at the collegiate level of interscholastic athletics) for the purpose of gaining additional physical or other maturation. It is similar to another rule limiting athletic eligibility to students under the age of 20. 281 IAC 36.15(2)"b." The exception clause was built in to take into consideration students who, for reasons generally beyond their control and unrelated to athletics, were unable to attend school and participate in sports for a time. For example, a student who is injured in a car accident and is hospitalized missing an extended period of school, or a student who suffers from an illness and is unable to attend school would be a strong candidate for the executive board ("Board of Control") of the IHSAA to grant an exception.

Id. at 332.

The same decision reviews previous cases involving the eight-semester rule:

The appeal cases brought under the eight-semester rule are instructive to our decision making. In 1983, the State Board affirmed a denial by the Board of Control of an additional semester of eligibility to a student who had dropped out of school for a year. In re Dennis Vacha, 3 D.P.I. App. Dec. 143 (1983). Later that summer a student who had experienced drug and alcohol problems that contributed to sporadic school attendance and lack of involvement for two school years asked for additional eligibility and was denied. In re Terry Thill, 3 D.P.I. App. Dec. 190(1983). In that case, the Board distinguished between Terry's problems with drugs and alcohol from another student's request for an additional semester of eligibility due to receiving inpatient treatment for drug dependency. The boy who sought inpatient treatment, thereby missing school for a semester, was granted an additional semester under the rule exception related to "extenuating circumstances, such as health."

The *Thill* decision states:

We certainly applaud the association's past record of attempting to deter students from experimentation and occasional use of drugs and alcohol. But, we feel that issues of drug and alcohol abuse and dependence are something totally different than occasional and experimental use by student athletes. We find in the context presented here that drug and alcohol abuse and dependency can, if verified, constitute a "health" circumstance subject for consideration under the "extenuating circumstances" exception for extension of athletic eligibility. This would be especially true if considered in light of other compounding circumstances such as a serious alienation from family members.

Id. at 193. For the same reasons, we find that Keith Degen's verified chemical dependency and inpatient treatment would constitute "extenuating circumstances, such as health." The principal at Mason City Alternative High School testified that he is not concerned that Keith would be a detrimental influence on the other student athletes. In fact, this would be a chance to send a message to students who themselves have strayed from the "straight and narrow" that we do recognize honest efforts at rehabilitation, which can make all the difference in a young person's life.

In Keith's situation, proceedings under his local district's good conduct policy had already precluded him from competing from July 15, 1998, to July 15, 1999. Keith has shown that these were extenuating circumstances during the spring semester of 1999. We recognize that documented evidence of chemical dependency requiring inpatient treatment can constitute "extenuating circumstances" under the eight-semester rule.

We conclude, however, that a local district's suspension of an athlete under its good conduct policy overrides the "extenuating circumstances" exception where the two are in direct conflict for the same time period, as they are in Keith Degen's situation. Rule 36.15(1), under the heading of "Eligibility requirements," provides as follows:

Local eligibility and student conduct rules. Local boards of education may impose additional eligibility requirements not in conflict with these rules. Nothing herein shall be construed to prevent a local school board from declaring a student ineligible to participate in interscholastic competition by reason of the student's violation of rules adopted by the school pursuant to Iowa Code sections 279.8 and 279.9.

Id. Iowa Code section 279.9 provides that a local board shall make rules that prohibit the use of controlled substances. In this appeal, it was undisputed that the Mason City District had already suspended Keith from participating in any extracurricular activities from July 15, 1998 to July 15, 1999.

Before closing, we wish to go on record as commending Keith Degen for his outstanding effort, courage, and success in altering his life circumstances. And, we thank his mother, Principal Ciccetti and all other Mason City staff and administration who have supported Keith's efforts. We hope that Shawn understands that this decision is in no way a reflection on his former situation. It is instead a decision based upon biological maturation factors common to all of us. We wish him continued success.

III. DECISION

For the foregoing reasons, the decision of the board of control of the Iowa High School Athletic Association denying Keith Degen an exemption from the eligibility rule is hereby affirmed. There are no costs of this appeal to be assigned.

DATE	SUSAN E. ANDERSON, J.D. ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
DATE	TED STILWILL, DIRECTOR DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION