

COLLEGE STUDENT AID COMMISSION[283]

Regulatory Analysis

Notice of Intended Action to be published: 283—Chapters 4 and 5 “Due Process and Contested Cases”

Iowa Code section(s) or chapter(s) authorizing rulemaking: 256.178

State or federal law(s) implemented by the rulemaking: Iowa Code section 256.178

Public Hearing

A public hearing at which persons may present their views orally or in writing will be held as follows:

March 11, 2025

Room B50

4 p.m.

Grimes State Office Building

Des Moines, Iowa

Public Comment

Any interested person may submit written comments concerning this Regulatory Analysis, which must be received by the College Student Aid Commission no later than 4:30 p.m. on the date of the public hearing. Comments should be directed to:

David Ford

Bureau Chief, Bureau of Iowa College Aid

400 East 14th Street

Des Moines, Iowa 50319

Email: david.ford@iowa.gov

Purpose and Summary

The Commission proposes to rescind Chapters 4 and 5 and adopt a new Chapter 4 pursuant to Executive Order 10. New Chapter 4 is proposed to consolidate the provisions from the two existing chapters. New Chapter 4 explains the appeal process and contested case hearings.

Analysis of Impact

1. Persons affected by the proposed rulemaking:

• **Classes of persons that will bear the costs of the proposed rulemaking:**

In general, the proposed rulemaking does not impose requirements that would add administrative burden beyond the provisions already established in law.

• **Classes of persons that will benefit from the proposed rulemaking:**

The public and the Commission will benefit from the rulemaking since it explains the appeal and contested case hearing processes.

2. Impact of the proposed rulemaking, economic or otherwise, including the nature and amount of all the different kinds of costs that would be incurred:

• **Quantitative description of impact:**

The rulemaking does not impose measurable costs beyond those imposed by law.

• **Qualitative description of impact:**

The rulemaking illustrates provisions to the public about the appeal and contested case hearing processes.

3. Costs to the State:

• **Implementation and enforcement costs borne by the agency or any other agency:**

The agency would incur minimal costs to implement and enforce the rule.

- **Anticipated effect on state revenues:**

The proposed rulemaking is not anticipated to have any effect on state revenues beyond that of the legislation it is intended to implement.

4. Comparison of the costs and benefits of the proposed rulemaking to the costs and benefits of inaction:

The benefit of the proposed rulemaking is to publicly illustrate the appeal and contested case hearing processes. The cost of inaction would be confusion about the process the Commission utilizes in align with appeals and contested case hearings.

5. Determination whether less costly methods or less intrusive methods exist for achieving the purpose of the proposed rulemaking:

The rulemaking proposes an efficient administrative method of providing the identified information to the public.

6. Alternative methods considered by the agency:

- **Description of any alternative methods that were seriously considered by the agency:**

No other methods were seriously considered by the Commission since the method proposed is a cost-efficient and seamless way to provide the information.

- **Reasons why alternative methods were rejected in favor of the proposed rulemaking:**

Alternative methods were rejected because the method used is a streamlined approach to illustrating the necessary information.

Small Business Impact

If the rulemaking will have a substantial impact on small business, include a discussion of whether it would be feasible and practicable to do any of the following to reduce the impact of the rulemaking on small business:

- Establish less stringent compliance or reporting requirements in the rulemaking for small business.
- Establish less stringent schedules or deadlines in the rulemaking for compliance or reporting requirements for small business.
- Consolidate or simplify the rulemaking's compliance or reporting requirements for small business.
- Establish performance standards to replace design or operational standards in the rulemaking for small business.
- Exempt small business from any or all requirements of the rulemaking.

If legal and feasible, how does the rulemaking use a method discussed above to reduce the substantial impact on small business?

The proposed rulemaking is not expected to impact small businesses.

Text of Proposed Rulemaking

ITEM 1. Rescind 283—Chapter 4 and Chapter 5 and adopt the following **new** chapter in lieu thereof:

CHAPTER 4
DUE PROCESS AND CONTESTED CASES

283—4.1(256) Definitions. For the purposes of this chapter:

“*Commission*” means the college student aid commission.

“*Waiver*” means the same as defined in Iowa Code section 17A.9A(5).

283—4.2(256) Appeals. This rule describes procedures for appeal to commission decisions covering eligibility for state financial aid awards, adjustment in award amounts, refunds of awards, and institutional eligibility for participation in state financial aid programs.

4.2(1) Administrative staff of the commission shall make all decisions in accordance with established policies and published administrative rules approved by the commission and shall notify the concerned individual or institution of these decisions within a reasonable time after inquiry.

COLLEGE STUDENT AID COMMISSION[283]

4.2(2) If an individual, institution, or any duly appointed representative thereof disagrees with a staff decision, written evidence setting forth the reasons for disagreement shall be presented to the executive director of the commission. The evidence must be presented within 60 days after notification of the staff decision, and the appellant may request a hearing.

a. If no hearing is requested, the executive director will consider all evidence provided and will notify the appellant within 30 days whether the decision is retracted, modified or upheld. The appellant will be advised of the appellant's right to carry the appeal to a meeting of the full commission or to an appeals panel appointed by the commission.

b. If a hearing is requested, the executive director will follow the contested case procedures in 4.3.

283—4.3(17A) Incorporation by reference. The commission adopts, with the exceptions and amendments noted in rule 283—4.4(17A), the Uniform Rules on Agency Procedure relating to declaratory orders, which are published at www.legis.iowa.gov/DOCS/Rules/Current/UniformRules.pdf on the general assembly's website.

282—4.4(17A) Additional information concerning contested cases. For purposes of the Uniform Rules on Agency Procedure relating to declaratory orders, the following amendments and exceptions apply:

1. In lieu of "(agency name)" insert "college student aid commission".
2. In lieu of "(designate official)" insert "Executive Director".

These rules are intended to implement Iowa Code sections 256.178 and 17A.