

Red Tape Review Rule Report (Due: September 1, 2025)

Department Name:	College Student Aid Commission	Date:	8/1/2023	Total Rule Count:	1
IAC #:	283	Chapter/ SubChapter/ Rule(s):	Chapter 22	Iowa Code Section Authorizing Rule:	256.213 – 256.217
Contact Name:	Todd Brown	Email:	Todd.brown@iowa.gov	Phone:	515-210-7670

PLEASE NOTE, THE BOXES BELOW WILL EXPAND AS YOU TYPE

What is the intended benefit of the rule?

The administrative rule was intended to specify the award limits, eligibility requirements and other administrative processes utilized under the program, and to dictate how financial need and residency are determined.

Is the benefit being achieved? Please provide evidence.

No. The program in Iowa Code chapter 256 has not received a state appropriation for over two decades. The administrative rule has served no purpose during that time. The majority of the existing administrative rule was last updated in the early to mid-90s.

What are the costs incurred by the public to comply with the rule?

NA.

What are the costs to the agency or any other agency to implement/enforce the rule?

NA.

Do the costs justify the benefits achieved? Please explain.

NA.

Are there less restrictive alternatives to accomplish the benefit? YES NO

If YES, please list alternative(s) and provide analysis of less restrictive alternatives from other states, if applicable. If NO, please explain.

NA.

Does this chapter/rule(s) contain language that is obsolete, outdated, inconsistent, redundant, or unnecessary language, including instances where rule language is duplicative of statutory language? [list chapter/rule number(s) that fall under any of the above categories]

PLEASE NOTE, THE BOXES BELOW WILL EXPAND AS YOU TYPE

This program has not received a state appropriation for over two decades, and has not been operational during the course of that timeframe. The majority of the administrative rule was written in the early to mid-90s, and contains language that is duplicative of the statute.

RULES PROPOSED FOR REPEAL (list rule number[s]):

22.1 – contains outdated, reductant and unnecessary language.

RULES PROPOSED FOR RE-PROMULGATION (list rule number[s] or include rule text if available):

**For rules being re-promulgated with changes, you may attach a document with suggested changes.*

METRICS

Total number of rules repealed:	1
Proposed word count reduction after repeal and/or re-promulgation	655
Proposed number of restrictive terms eliminated after repeal and/or re-promulgation	17

ARE THERE ANY STATUTORY CHANGES YOU WOULD RECOMMEND INCLUDING CODIFYING ANY RULES?