Red Tape Review Rule Report

(Due: September 1, 20 <mark>25</mark>)							
Department	Department	Date:	6/7/2024	Total Rule	1		
Name:	of Education -			Count:			
	College						
	Student Aid						
	Commission						
	283	Chapter/	Chapter 32	Iowa Code	256.203		
IAC #:		SubChapter/		Section			
		Rule(s):		Authorizing			
				Rule:			
Contact	Todd Brown	Email:	Todd.brown@iowa.gov	Phone:	515-210-7670		
Name:							
PLEASE NOTE, THE BOXES BELOW WILL EXPAND AS YOU TYPE							
What is the intended benefit of the rule?							
The rule was initially intended to specify residency requirements, as well as standards, guidelines, and							

The rule was initially intended to specify residency requirements, as well as standards, guidelines, and procedures for the receipt, processing and administration of loans under the program. The program last received an appropriation in FYO2, and is not operational with the exception of several accounts that are in default/collections. The provisions in the administrative rule relating to the servicing of the loan are duplicated in the promissory notes that each of these borrowers signed, rendering the administrative rule obsolete.

Is the benefit being achieved? Please provide evidence.

No. This program was created in 1995, and last received funding in FY02. The administrative rule is no longer utilized, as no new awards are being made, and the provisions relating to loan servicing are duplicated in the promissory notes that each of the defaulted borrowers signed.

,
What are the costs incurred by the public to comply with the rule?
NA.
What are the costs to the agency or any other agency to implement/enforce the rule?
NA.
Do the costs justify the benefits achieved? Please explain.
NA.
Are there less restrictive alternatives to accomplish the benefit? YES NO
f YES, please list alternative(s) and provide analysis of less restrictive alternatives from other states, if applicable. If NO, please explain.
NA.

necessary language, including instances where rule language is duplicative of	•			
chapter/rule number(s) that fall under any of the above categories]				
PLEASE NOTE, THE BOXES BELOW WILL EXPAND AS YOU TYPE				
RULES PROPOSED FOR REPEAL (list rule number[s]):				
32.1				
RULES PROPOSED FOR RE-PROMULGATION (list rule number[s] or include rule	e text if available):			
*For rules being re-promulgated with changes, you may attach a document w	vith suggested changes.			
METRICS				
Total number of rules repealed:	6			
Proposed word count reduction after repeal and/or re-promulgation	856			
Troposed troid countries and repeat and, or to promatgation				
Proposed number of restrictive terms eliminated after repeal and/or re-	9			
promulgation				
ARE THERE ANY STATUTORY CHANGES YOU WOULD RECOMMEND INCLUDING	G CODIFYING ANY RULES?			
Rescind Iowa Code section 256.203.	G CODIFYING ANY RULES?			
	G CODIFYING ANY RULES?			
	G CODIFYING ANY RULES?			
	G CODIFYING ANY RULES?			